

PMA KENYA (SIAYA)

Results from Phase 1 baseline survey

November-December 2019

OVERALL KEY FINDINGS



There has been a decrease in modern contraception use since 2015 among all and married women.



47% of the women reported to have received comprehensive methods information during the FP visit.




76% of the users obtained their current modern method from a public health facility.

SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND


MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception (mCPR) by marital status



CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY METHOD TYPE

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using contraception by method type (PMA Phase 1 n=730)













MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE BY COUNTY Kenya county

Percent of women age 15-49 currently using modern contraception by



METHOD USE, UNMET NEED, AND DEMAND SATISFIED BY A MODERN METHOD

Percent of women age 15-49 using contraception by method type, unmet need, and demand satisfied by a modern method (PMA Phase 1 n=730)




Demand satisfied by a modern method is use of modern contraceptive methods divided by the sum of unmet need plus total contraceptive use.

TRENDS IN MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE MIX

Percent distribution of modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method and year (PMA Phase 1 n=315) 39 32 14 9 11 8 9 4 Dec Dec Dec Dec July Dec July Dec 2014 2014 2015 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 **PMA** PMA2020 survey rounds Phase 1

MODERN CONTRACEPTIVE METHOD

Percent distribution of married/in union modern contraceptive users age 15-49 by method (n=236)





12-MONTH DISCONTINUATION RATE

Among women who started an episode of contraceptive use within the two years preceding the survey, the percent of episodes discontinued within 12 months (n=333 episodes)

4% discontinued to become pregnant discontinued for other reasons

Reasons for discontinuation:

experienced method failure were concerned over

side effects or health

had other fertility related reasons

Discontinued but

switched methods:

Switched

related reasons


wanted a more

effective method

other/don't know

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 1: CONTRACEPTIVE USE, **DYNAMICS, AND DEMAND**

- There has been a general decrease in modern contraceptive use since 2015.
- 46% of the time, methods were discontinued within one year of starting. 4% did so to become pregnant and 9% switched to another method.
- About 54% of the pregnancies were unintended, with 44% mistimed and 10% not wanted.



SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

METHOD INFORMATION INDEX PLUS (MII+)

Percent of women who were told about side effects, what to do about side effects, of other methods, and the possibility of switching methods (n=310)

When you obtained your method were you told by the provider about side effects or problems you might have?

Were you told what to do if you experienced side effects or problems?

Were you told by the provider about methods of FP other than the method you received?

Were you told that you could switch to a different method in the future?



Percent of women who responded "Yes" to all four MII+ questions

answered "No" to at least one MII+

auestion

answered "Yes" to all four MII+ auestions




DISCUSSED FP IN THE PAST YEAR WITH PROVIDER/CHW Percent of women who received FP information from a provider or community health worker (CHW), by age 46 44

20-24

(n=122)

Yes No



KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 2: QUALITY OF FP SERVICES AND COUNSELING

25-49

(n=428)

- 95% of the clients reported satisfaction with FP services they received, but only 63%reported that the provider discussed the advantages and disadvantages of the chosen method.
- Adolescent girls are least likely to have discussed FP with their health provider or CHW in the past year.

SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

PARTNER INVOLVEMENT IN FP DECISIONS

Percent of women who are currently using modern, female controlled methods and agree with the following statements (n=311)

Does your partner know that you are using this method?

15-19

(n=180)

Before you started using this method had you discussed the decision to delay or avoid pregnancy with your partner?






Modern, female controlled methods Includes all modern methods except male sterilization and male condoms

Percent of women who are currently using FP and agree with the following statements (n=323) Percent of women who are not currently using FP and agree with the following statements (n=360)



Would you say that not using FP is mainly your decision?



- Joint decision Mainly respondent
- Mainly partner
- Other

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 3: PARTNER DYNAMICS

- Among women using a modern female controlled method, 24% reported that their partner does not know that they are using contraception.
- •11% of women who are using contraceptive method reported that it is mainly their partner's decision to use •13% of the decisions not to use and 48% of the decisions to use FP are jointly made.



SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS' EMPOWERMENT

AGREEMENT WITH FAMILY PLANNING EMPOWERMENT STATEMENTS

Percent of all women who strongly agree to strongly disagree with each statement

Exercise of choice (self-efficacy, negotiation) for family planning (n=722)


I feel confident telling my provider what is important when selecting an FP method.

I can decide to switch from one FP method to another if I want to.



Existence of choice (motivational autonomy) for family planning (n=706)

If I use FP, my body may experience side effects that will disrupt relations with my partner.



Strongly disagree

Disagree

Neutral

Agree

Strongly agree


WOMEN'S AND GIRL'S EMPOWERMENT (WGE) SUB-SCALE FOR FAMILY PLANNING

The Women's and Girls' Empowerment (WGE) Index examines existence of choice, exercise of choice, and achievement of choice domains across pregnancy, family planning, and sex outcomes.

Presented results are only for the existence of choice and exercise of choice domains for family planning.

Scores from the above family planning empowerment statements were summed and divided by number of items (7) for average WGE family planning score across both domains.

Range for the combined WGE family planning score is 1-5, with a score of 5 indicating highest empowerment.








by categorical WGE score Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by categorical WGE score (n=730) 100 80 60 17 9 40 8 51 47 20 37 26 0 High Lowest Median Highest (5) (1) or low

Intent to use contraception
 mCPR

mCPR and intent to use contraception.

mCPR and intent to use contraception, by employment

Percent of women using a modern method of contraception and percent of women who intend to use contraception in the next year by employment status (n=730)




KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 4: WOMEN AND GIRLS' EMPOWERMENT

- Women who score higher on the empowerment scale are much more likely to be using a modern contraceptive method or intend to use in the future.
- Women who are employed are more likely to be using or intend to use modern contraception.

SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION









KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 5: ATTITUDES TOWARDS CONTRACEPTION

- Over 70% of the women agreed or strongly agreed that people who use FP have a better quality of life.
- About 6 in every 10 adolescents are of the opinion that their adolescent counterparts who use FP are promiscous.
- About 5 in every 10 contraceptive non users are of the opinion that FP is only for married women.

SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE





KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 6: REPRODUCTIVE TIMELINE


- While about 6 in every 10 of the young women have had first sex by age 18, only 17% have used a contrcaptive by that age and 13% are married.
- Rural women start using contraceptives about 7 years after their sex debut while urban women use contraceptives about 5 years after their sexual debut.



SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS


TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IUD

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=77)



TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: IMPLANT

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=77)



TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: INJECTABLES

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=77)




TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: MALE CONDOMS

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=77)



TRENDS IN METHOD AVAILABILITY: PILLS

Public facilities (PMA Phase 1 n=77)



- Currently in stock and no stockout in last 3 months
- Currently in stock but stockout in last 3 months
- Currently out of stock
- Not offered




FACILITY READINESS

Percent of facilities that provide implants and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for implant insertion/removal (n=76)



Percent of facilities that provide IUDs and have a trained provider and instruments/supplies needed for IUD insertion/removal (n=64)



FEES FOR SERVICES

Percent of facilities where FP clients have to pay fees to be seen by a provider even if they do not obtain FP



76%

of women obtained their current modern method from a public health facility (n=311)

KEY FINDINGS FOR SECTION 7: SERVICE DELIVERY POINTS

- 76% of the women obtained their current modern method from a public health facility.
- Slightly more than half of the facilities that provide IUd have a trained and instruments/supplies needed for IUD insertion/removal.

TABLES: CONTRACEPTIVE PREVALENCE AND UNMET NEED

ALL WOMEN				CPR				mCPR				Unmet need for family planning			
Data source	Round/ Phase	Data collection	Female sample	CPR%	SE	95%	6 CI	mCPR%	R% SE 95% CI		Unmet need (%)	SE	95% CI		
PMA 2020	R1	May-July 2014	371	41.95	2.42	36.79	47.28	41.95	2.42	36.79	47.28	21.49	2.19	17.11	26.62
PMA 2020	R2	Nov-Dec 2014	431	40.50	5.65	29.10	53.02	40.17	5.64	28.82	52.70	18.58	2.37	13.99	24.27
PMA 2020	R3	June-July 2015	409	45.32	3.35	38.19	52.64	44.95	3.39	37.75	52.38	19.69	1.69	16.28	23.62
PMA 2020	R4	Nov-Dec 2015	465	51.54	2.84	45.37	57.66	50.57	2.71	44.71	56.41	13.83	1.03	11.73	16.23
PMA 2020	R5	Nov-Dec 2016	442	47.60	3.00	41.10	54.19	45.95	3.00	39.47	52.57	14.13	2.42	9.62	20.30
PMA 2020	R6	Nov-Dec 2017	442	45.56	2.58	39.97	51.26	44.91	2.49	39.54	50.41	15.15	1.60	11.96	19.00
PMA 2020	R7	Nov-Dec 2018	399	43.59	2.26	38.69	48.61	42.73	2.18	38.01	47.58	13.62	1.78	10.16	18.01
PMA	Phase 1	Nov-Dec 2019	730	42.47	2.41	37.57	47.51	40.97	2.06	36.79	45.28	13.82	1.19	11.55	16.47

WOMEN IN UNION				CPR				mCPR				Unmet need for family planning			
Data source	Round/ Phase	Data collection	Female sample	CPR%	SE	95% CI		mCPR%	SE	95% CI		Unmet need (%)	SE	95% CI	
PMA 2020	R1	May-July 2014	254	50.63	2.56	45.08	56.16	50.63	2.56	45.08	56.16	26.50	2.94	20.63	33.35
PMA 2020	R2	Nov-Dec 2014	280	52.17	5.77	39.85	64.22	51.66	5.73	39.46	63.67	23.32	3.00	17.45	30.44
PMA 2020	R3	June-July 2015	281	53.37	2.64	47.60	59.05	52.84	2.73	46.89	58.71	23.77	2.61	18.56	29.89
PMA 2020	R4	Nov-Dec 2015	308	60.68	3.52	52.84	68.01	59.25	3.11	52.36	65.80	17.46	1.04	15.30	19.85
PMA 2020	R5	Nov-Dec 2016	275	57.95	3.96	49.13	66.28	56.58	4.05	47.59	65.15	18.68	2.94	13.08	25.97
PMA 2020	R6	Nov-Dec 2017	277	57.33	3.02	50.61	63.79	56.55	2.98	49.93	62.95	18.18	2.29	13.69	23.75
PMA 2020	R7	Nov-Dec 2018	228	58.94	2.92	52.41	65.16	57.71	2.90	51.25	63.92	15.51	2.55	10.71	21.93
PMA	Phase 1	Nov-Dec 2019	418	56.70	2.04	52.46	60.85	55.15	1.90	51.19	59.05	17.53	1.50	14.63	20.85

PMA Kenya (Siaya) collects information on knowledge, practice, and coverage of family planning services in 25 enumeration areas selected using a multi-stage stratified cluster design with urban-rural strata. The results are county-level representative. Data were collected between November and December 2019 from 835 households (98.5% response rate), 730 females age 15-49 (98.3% response rate), 98 facilities (98% completion rate), and 299 client exit interviews. For sampling information and full data sets, visit www.pmadata.org/countries/kenya.

PMA uses mobile technology and female resident data collectors to support rapid-turnaround surveys to monitor key family planning and health indicators in Africa and Asia. PMA Kenya is led by the Ministry of Health in collaboration with International Centre for Reproductive Health Kenya (ICRHK), National Council for Population and Development, and Kenya National Bureau of Statistics. Overall direction and support are provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Institute for Population and Reproductive Health at the Johns Hopkins University and Jhpiego. Funding is provided by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation.

